

The Applicant kindly elects the above-stated group *with* traverse. The Applicant submits that the inventions of groups I and II do not have the separate utility cited by the Examiner. In particular, the Examiner has stated that subcombination II (directed to claims 5 32-49) has separate utility such as indicating appointments on a graphical user interface. The Applicant holds that subcombination I (directed to claims 1-31) can have the same utility of indicating appointments on a graphical user interface. For at least this reason, Applicant kindly traverses the restriction requirement and requests the Examiner to reconsider the restriction requirement.

10

Respectfully submitted,

/ Trieu T. Mai /

Trieu T. Mai  
Reg. No. 61,354  
Lumen Patent Firm, Inc.  
2345 Yale Street, Second Floor  
Palo Alto, CA 94306  
650-424-0100 (phone)